

Table 2. Number and percentage of fully reported items from PRISMA checklist for abstracts. [n (%)]

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	Total Sample (n=65)	Non Cochrane Reviews (n=56)	Cochrane Reviews (n=9)
1. Title	Identify the report as a systematic review, meta-analysis, or both	57 (87.7)	48 (85.7)	9 (100.0)
2. Objectives	The research question including components such as participants, interventions, comparators, and outcomes	48 (73.8)	39 (69.6)	9 (100.0)
3. Eligibility criteria	Study and report characteristics used as criteria for inclusion	2 (3.1)	0 (0.0)	2 (22.2)
4. Information sources	Key databases searched and search dates	34 (52.3)	25 (44.6)	9 (100.0)
5. Risk of bias	Methods of assessing risk of bias	22 (33.8)	19 (33.9)	3 (33.3)
6. Included studies	Number and type of included studies and participants and relevant characteristics of studies	32 (49.2)	23 (41.1)	9 (100.0)
7. Synthesis of results	Results for main outcomes (benefits and harms), preferably indicating the number of studies and participants for each. If meta-analysis was done, include summary measures and confidence intervals	14 (21.5)	6 (10.7)	8 (88.9)
8. Description of the effect	Direction of the effect (i.e. which group is favoured) and size of the effect in terms meaningful to clinicians and patients	22 (33.8)	16 (28.6)	6 (66.7)
9. Strengths and Limitations of evidence	Brief summary of strengths and limitations of evidence (e.g. inconsistency, imprecision, indirectness, or risk of bias, other supporting or conflicting evidence)	33 (50.8)	24 (42.9)	9 (100.0)
10. Interpretation	General interpretation of the results and important implications	19 (29.2)	12 (21.4)	7 (77.8)
11. Funding	Primary source of funding for the review	25 (38.5)	16 (28.6)	9 (100.0)
12. Registration	Registration number and registry name	13 (20.0)	4 (7.1)	9 (100.0)
Better reporting quality articles	More than 6 items fully reported	15 (23.1)	6 (10.7)	9 (100.0)